Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type		Significant		Administrative		
		Operational Decision		Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000		☐ below £25,000		
value	∑ £500,000 to £1,000,000	☐ £25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000		
	over £1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000				
		Over £500,000				
Director ¹	Martin Farrington – Director of City Development					
Contact person:	Gillian MacLeod	Telepho		number:		
				0113 378 8091		
Subject ² :	CRSTS Safe Roads Progra	mme 2022/23				
Decision	What decision has been taken?					
details ³ :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in					
	relation to exempt information,	, exemption fron	n call in etc.)			
	The Chief Officer Highways & Transportation:					
	a. Noted the contents of the attached report including the CRSTS Safe					
	Roads Allocation for Leeds City Council;					
	b. Approved the new interventions proposed in paragraph 4;c. Gave authority to incur expenditure of £677,000 to deliver the					
	schemes highlighted in paragraph 4 and shown on plans in					
	Appendices 1, 2 and 3, subject to the release of the funds from the					
	Combined Authority.					
_						
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having					
	consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)					
	The decision is required to allocate the CRSTS Safe Roads pot to address road					
	safety issues in Leeds.					

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list ³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	Drief details of any olfernative entires considered and rejected by the decision			
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision			
	The schemes are part of a wider programme which will be delivered over a 5 year			
	period. Schemes relate to current sites and lengths for concern and cluster sites or			
	KSI prevention tackling the fatal five.			
	The provention tastaing the later invo.			
Affected wards:	Verious			
Affected wards.	Various			
Details of	Executive Member – Cllr Helen Hayden – 30 January 2023			
consultation	Excoditive Member Cili Ficient Tayach So Sandary 2023			
undertaken ⁴ :	Word Councillars word marshare will at house been consulted as nort of the			
	Ward Councillors – ward members will or have been consulted as part of the			
	design of the schemes covered by this report			
	Chief Digital and Information Officer ⁵			
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer ⁶			
	Others			
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation			
	Gillian MacLeod			
	2022/23 financial year			
11-6-6				
List of Forthcoming	Date Added to List:- 2 May 2023			
Key Decisions ⁷	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is			
Rey Decisions	impracticable to delay the decision			
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval			
	Signature Date			
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason			
report ⁸	why not possible:			

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. ⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

6 See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings.

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature Date					
Call In	Is the decision available9			☐ No		
	for call-in?					
	If exempt from call-in, the council or the public:	reason why ca	all-in would pre	ejudice the interests of the		
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ¹⁰					
Decision	Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer Highways & Transportation					
	Signature		Date			
	GJBanto	it.	7 June 2023			

⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3. ¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.